## Nd-Fe-B permanent magnets

## M. J. O'Shea

## Kansas State University

#### mjoshea@phys.ksu.edu

If you cannot get the papers connected to this work, please e-mail me for a copy

# 1. Introduction

Best permanent magnets known:

Based on either Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B or SmCo<sub>5</sub>

Important figures of merit

Magnetization M<sub>S</sub> - saturation magnetization

Coercivity H<sub>C</sub> -reverse field to reduce magnetization to zero

Energy product BH<sub>MAX</sub> -(max. value of product (BH) in 2<sup>nd</sup> quadrant)

#### Bulk permanent magnets in motors

#### PERMANENT MAGNETS IN AUTOMOTIVE APPLICATIONS



Why is nanostructuring important for bulk magnets?

## Hard Magnetic Materials (permanent magnets)

Should have

- a large coercivity (so they are not easily demagnetized)
- a large magnetization so that they create a strong magnetic field

Usually a combination of a <u>rare-earth</u> and a <u>transition metal</u>



High magnetic ordering temperature



 $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$  and  $SmCo_5 \rightarrow$  important permanent magnet materials. (tetragonal) (hexagonal)

Material	$\mu_0 M_s$	T <sub>c</sub>	<b>K</b> <sub>1</sub>	<sub>i</sub> H <sub>c</sub>	(BH) <sub>max</sub>
	(T)	(°C)	$(MJ/m^3)$	(MA/m)	(MG.Oe)
SmCo <sub>5</sub>	1.0	700	10	2.9	24
Nd <sub>2</sub> Fe <sub>14</sub> B	1.6	312	5	1.6	45
CoPt	0.4	550	5	0.34	12

## $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$ -Structure

Tetragonal Structure.

See

Herbst et, Phys. Rev. B29,4176 (1984),(1985) Shoemaker et, Acta Cryst C40,1665 (1984) Givord et, Physica130B, 323, (1985)





#### See

O'Handley 'Modern Magnetic Materials', John wiley and Sons (2000) Barret et al 'Structure of Materials', Pergamon Press (1980) Strnat, 'Ferromagnetic Materials', Vol 4, Wohlfarth ed., Elsevier Press (1988)

### Magnetic Recording

Recording medium-  $\gamma$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (maghematite), spinel structure chemically stable ferrimagnetic anisotropy is from shape (10:1 ratio of dimensions) coercivity 350 Oe Cr added to increase the anisotropy

Type of recoding: longitudinal perpendicular

Write head- e.g. minature horseshoe magnet. coil of wire energizes a high permeability magnet (e.g. NiFe material) field is created in the gap of the magnet this field magnetizes the recoding medium field created in gap> $H_c$ (recording medium)

Read head- i) write head could operate in reverse ii) giant magnetoresistance read head

## Preparation



self organization
(chemical, biological)

# 2. Preparation and structure

#### This work

Nb buffered,
540 nm thick NdFeB
Influence of anneal time
Increase Fe content

Standard (Vacuum) anneal, 20 mins. or Rapid anneal, 30 seconds.

Four compositions: Fe/Nd ratio: 6, 7.7, 9.4, 11.1 (PIXE) Nd/B ratio: 1.8 (ICP mass spectroscopy)

Samples are of the form:

[Nb(20 nm)/NdFeB(d nm)/Nb(20 nm)]/Si subst

**Preparation** 

- Ar ion sputtering
- Water cooled substrate
- Targets:  $\underline{NdFeB+Fe}$  and  $\underline{Nb}$ .

540 nm S40 nm S40 nm Si subst.

## Preparation



Change buffer layer A: Ti, V, Cr, Zr, Nb, Mo, Ta, Hf

Rb 5К         Sr           bcc         fcc           5.585         6.08           Cs 5К         Ba           bcc         5.02	La hex. 3.77 ABAC	Hf hcp 3.19 5.05	bec 3.30	bec 3.16	hcp 2.76 4.46	hcp 2.74 4.32	fcc 3.84	fcc 3.92	fcc 4.08	rtiamb.	hcp 3.46 5.52	fcc 4.95	rhòmb.	sc 3.34		
Rb         5K         Sr           bcc         fcc         5.585         6.08	( second s		Т	W	Re	05	Ir	Pt	Au	Hg	TI	Pb	Bi	Ро	At	Rn
J.22J J.JO	Y hcp 3.65 5.73	Zr hcp 3.23 5.15	Nb bec 3.30	Mo bcc 3.15	Tc hcp 2.74 4.40	Ru hcp 2.71 4.28	Rh fcc 3.80	Pd fcc 3.89	Ag fcc 4.09	Cd hcp 2.98 5.62	In tetr. 3.25 4.95	<b>Sn</b> (α) diamond 6.49	Sb rhomb.	Te hex. chains	l complex (l <sub>2</sub> )	Хе 4к fcc 6.13
К 5к Ca bcc fcc	Sc hcp 3.31 5.27	Ti hcp 2.95 4.68	V bcc 3.03	Cr //cc 2.88	Mn cubic complex	Fe bcc 2.87	Co hcp 2.51 4.07	Ni fcc 3.52	Cu fcc 3.61	Zn hcp 2.66 4.95	Ga complex	<b>Ge</b> diamond 5.658	As rhomb.	Se hex: chains	Br complex (Br <sub>2</sub> )	<b>Кг</b> 4к fcc 5.64
Na 5K         Mg           bcc         hcp           4.225         3.21           5.21				a la	Crystal ittice par ittice par	structure rameter, ameter,	in A —			<b>y</b> →→→	AI fcc 4.05	Si diamond 5.430	P complex	S complex	CI complex (Cl <sub>2</sub> )	<b>Ar</b> 4к fcc 5.31
Li 78K Be bcc hcp 3.491 2.27 3.59	   •	Buffer layer materials: Nd has low solubility in them Mechanically hard, low reactivity							V	B rhomb.	C diamond 3.567	N 20К cubic 5.66 (N <sub>2</sub> )	O complex (O <sub>2</sub> )	F	<b>Ne</b> fcc 4.46	
hcp 3.75 6.12																3.57 5.83

### **Sputter Deposition**



X-ray diffractogram Mo(20nm)/NdFeB(180nm)/Mo(20nm) -crystallite size 30 nm





 $2\theta$ (Degree)

3. Annealing rate and varying non-magnetic layer

#### Parameters varied and their physical effect

<b>Parameter</b> A (buffer)	<b>Range</b> Cr,Mo,Nb,Ta,Ti, V,Zr,SiC,BN	<b>Possible effect</b> Morphology, Buffer/NdFeB mix
d (thickness)	54, 90, 180, 540nm	Magnetic size effect, morphology
T <sub>an</sub> (anneal)	450 to 750°C	Morphology
Anneal time	20 mins or 30 secs	Morphology
Fe content	Four compositions	α-Fe formation, BH <sub>max</sub> enhance.

Annealing Vacuum anneal(20 minutes) Or Rapid thermal anneal (30 seconds)

Purpose

Crystallize the tetragonal  $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$ 

Improve crystal quality (remove defects) from  $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$ 

<u>Problem related to annealing</u>: can lead to a mixing of the buffer layer and the magnetic  $(Nd_2Fe_{14}B)$  phase.

Can lead to sample-substrate adhesion problems

#### Cr/NdFeB(180 nm)/Cr

Mo/NdFeB(180 nm)/Mo



Cr, 180 nm, annealed 575 C



#### Mo, 180 nm, annealed 550 C

### Coercivity summary

**Buffer,** H<sub>Ci</sub>

Ti	V	Cr
15 kOe	2 kOe	6.7 kOe
Zr	Nb	Mo
-		
-	20 kOe	17 kOe
Hf	Ta	$\mathbf{W}^*$
14 kOe	10 kOe	7.4 kOe
		*Tsai et al, Jour.
		Magn.Mag.Mater
		196, 728 (1999).

#### Anneal temperature and Adhesion

Anneal temp at which sample no longer adheres to the substrate



### Theoretical Prediction of BH<sub>max</sub>

-Largest value of BH in second quadrant

-measures magnetostatic energy stored in magnet

-If coercivity is sufficiently large,  $BH_{max} = M_s^2/4\mu_0$  (MKS)

-If coercivity is less than  $M_r/2$ , then  $BH_{max}$  is smaller

e.g. if Fe had a large enough coercivity, BH<sub>max</sub> = 0.92 MJ/m<sup>3</sup>, measured value is ~ 0.001 MJ/m<sup>3</sup> [Skomski, Coey, Phys. Rev. B 48, 15812 (1993)]

e.g. bulk  $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$ :  $BH_{max}$ (upper limit) = 0.516 MJ/m<sup>3</sup> measured value 0.405 MJ/m<sup>3</sup> [Sagawa et al, Japan. J. Appl. Phys. 26, 785 (1987)]





## Best Values of H<sub>c</sub>, BH<sub>max</sub> for each buffer

Ti	V	Cr					
15 kOe	2 kOe	6.7 kOe					
9.3 MG-Oe	2.1 MG-Oe	6.8 MG-Oe					
Zr	Nb	Мо					
-							
-	20 kOe	17 kOe					
	7.7 MG-Oe	10.3 MG-Oe					
Hf	Ta	$\mathbf{W}^*$					
14 kOe	10 kOe	7.4 kOe					
6.8 MG-Oe	3.2 MG-Oe	*Tsai et al, Jour.					
		Magn.Mag.Mater					
		196, 728 (1999).					

**Buffer** Har Max (BH,....)

[Jiang, O'Shea, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 59, <u>212</u>, (2000)]



#### Vacuum anneal(20 minutes) or Rapid thermal anneal (30 seconds)

#### Anneal Temperature profiles



- a rapid anneal should lead to more uniform grain size.





![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

## $H_C$ as a function of $T_{an}$ ,(rapid anneal)

To find coercivity for a simple model

Single magnetic domain:

 $E_{a} = K \sin^{2} \theta$  $E_{h} = -MH \cos \theta_{H}$ 

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

Reverse H :

H = 2K/M $H_{C1}$ 

![](_page_29_Figure_6.jpeg)

Magnetic domains randomly oriented.

$$H_C = H_{C1}/2 = (K_1 + K_2)/M$$

For Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B (theory):

 $H_{C} = 32.4 \text{ kOe}$ 

Maximum coercivity

Theoretical maximum (randomly oriented grains) 32.4 kOe

Experiment 26.3 kOe (our thin film), Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>8.3</sub>B<sub>0.8</sub> [Jiang, evans, O'Shea, Du, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 224, 233 (2001)]

Other work: 27.5 kOe (bulk melt-spun), Nd<sub>147</sub>Fe<sub>13</sub>B [Girt, Krishnan, Thomas, Altounian, Appl. Phys. Lett. 76, 1737 (2000)]

# 3. Modeling the coercivity

#### Magnetic Reversal by Uniform Rotation

[(W. F. Brown, Rev. Mod. Phys. 17, 15 (1945)]

Assuming a single particle with field applied along the uniaxial axis:

 $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}} = 2\mathbf{K}_{1}/\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}} - \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{e}}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}$ 

- K<sub>1</sub> uniaxial anisotropy coefficent
- M<sub>s</sub> saturation magnetization
- N<sub>e</sub> difference between parallel and perpendicular demagnetization factors

#### Modified Brown's Equation

(H. Kronmuller, K.-D. Durst, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 74, 291 (1988)

 $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}} = (2\mathbf{K}_{1}/\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}})\alpha_{\psi}\alpha_{\mathbf{K}} - \mathbf{N}_{\mathbf{e}}\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}$ 

- $\alpha_{\psi}$  grains not aligned (randomly oriented,  $\alpha_{\psi} \sim 0.5$ )
- $\alpha_{K}$  grains are not perfect Both  $\alpha_{\psi}$  and  $\alpha_{K}$  reduce coercivity

Pinning:  $\alpha_{\rm K} < 0.3$ Nucleation: no restriction on  $\alpha_{\rm K}$ 

#### Magnetic reversal by nucleation

[(D. Givord, P. Tenaud, T. Viadieu, IEEE Trans. Mag. 24, 1921 (1988)] Here reverse magnetic domains nucleate and expand.

 $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{C}} = \gamma_{\mathbf{B}} \alpha_{\mathbf{S}} \alpha_{\mathbf{B}} / \mu_{\mathbf{o}} v^{1/3} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}} - \mathbf{N}_{\text{eff}} \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}$ 

 $\gamma_{\rm B}$  – domain wall energy of ideal material  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  – geometric factor (= s/v<sup>2/3</sup>, s is nucleus surface area and v is volume)  $\alpha_{\rm B}$  – relates wall energy to ideal wall energy

Both are similar in form!

### Modified Brown' plot

Nb/NdFeB(180 nm)/Nb

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

## Modified Brown's Plot

Assume  $\alpha_{\psi} = 0.5$  (no texture)

d (nm)	Anneal Time (min)	Anneal temp (°C)	H <sub>ci</sub> (kOe	M <sub>h</sub> (emu/g)	$M_r/M_h$	N <sub>e</sub>	$lpha_{K} \ lpha_{ex}$
180	20	600	18.0	91.5	0.58	0.19	0.54
180	0.5	650	21.4	100.7	0.63	0.07	0.59

The rapidly annealed sample has:

smaller  $N_e$  indicating smaller internal demagnetization fields larger  $\alpha_K$  (indirect argument) suggesting that the grains are of higher quality. larger remanence ratio

![](_page_37_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Largest 'Maximum Energy Product', BH<sub>MAX</sub>:

#### 13 MGauss-Oe (103 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>)

Buffer: Nb

Anneal time,temp:30 sec, 750 °C $\widehat{D}$ 150Composition: Nd2Fe18B0.8 (Fe-rich) $\widehat{D}$  $\widehat{D}$ 150Grain size 35-50 nm, randomly oriented. $\Sigma$ 50 $\alpha$ -Fe is present $\Box$  $\Box$  $\Box$ 

- •Exchange between  $\alpha$ -Fe and  $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$  phases leads to a large  $BH_{MAX}$ ,
- •Coercivity is 11 kOe
- •Others have reported 22.8 MGauss-Oe in oriented films with coercivity of 9.4 kOe.
- •Next: Orient the grains to increase BH<sub>MAX</sub>

![](_page_38_Figure_8.jpeg)

4. Exchange coupling

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

Composite material has a large interface area, two phases should be strongly coupled magnetically,

Result: a material with large coercivity, and moderately large magnetization [Kneller, Hawig, IEEE Trans. Magn. 27, 3588 (1991)]

#### Two separate materials:

hard (e.g.  $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$ )

![](_page_41_Figure_2.jpeg)

soft (e.g Fe)

![](_page_41_Figure_4.jpeg)

# Put them together: $M \rightarrow H$ $H \rightarrow H$ 1 cm 1 cm

![](_page_42_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Microscopic view of interface:

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Now lets make the layers 30 nm thick:

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Permanent Magnet

![](_page_45_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

grain size is 20 - 70 nm.

![](_page_45_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### Exchange coupling (Hf/NdFeB/Hf/Si(110)

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### From previous work

Best buffer layers: Nb, Mo Sample thickness: 180 or 540 nm  $\rightarrow$  largest H<sub>c</sub>, MH<sub>max</sub> Rapid anneal: larger H<sub>c</sub>, MH<sub>max</sub>

#### Notes:

The Fe/Nd ratio was determined by PIXE, 7 is stochiometric Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B

The Nd/B ratio was determined by ICP mass spectroscopy and is 1.8.

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_1.jpeg)

[Jiang, O'Shea, to be published in Jour, Magn. Magn. Mat]

#### Hysteresis loops for:

#### Nb/NdFeB(540 nm)/Nb/Si, Rapid (30 sec) anneal at 625 °C.

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

No in-plane or perpendicular magnetic anisotropy apart from demagnetization.

(Quantum Design MPMS5 SQUID magnetometer)

Magnetic Properties as a function of Fe content, d = 540 nm, buffer Nb.

Four compositions: Fe/Nd ratio: 6, 7.7, 9.4, 11.1 (PIXE) Nd/B ratio: 1.8 (ICP mass spectroscopy)

![](_page_50_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_0.jpeg)

Comparison of a standard and a rapid anneal. For sample: d = 540 nm, Fe/Nd ratio = 9.4

![](_page_52_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Interactions

Assuming uniform uniaxial single domain particles with no interactions the remanences are related by:

![](_page_53_Figure_2.jpeg)

NdFeB/Co –exchange coupled magnets

-Co incorporates a larger moment than  $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$ 

-Co will increase the magnetic ordering temperature

-if Co is incorporated into the  $Nd_2Fe_{14}B$  phase  $[Nd_2(FeCo)_{14}B]$  then the anisotropy of the magnet is lowered.

#### X-ray diffraction: Nd<sub>2</sub>Fe<sub>14</sub>B +14% Co

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

# $H_c$ , $BH_{max}$ , $\mu_0 M_r$ as a function of Co content

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Structure and magnetic properties -two selected samples

					(	(	
Sample/ T <sub>a</sub> (°C)	X (%)	$\begin{array}{c} \mu_0 M_r \\ (T) \\ \pm 1\% \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} \mu_0 H_c \\ (T) \\ \pm 1\% \end{array}$	BH <sub>max</sub> (kJ/m <sup>3</sup> ) ±1%	M <sub>r</sub> /M <sub>s</sub> ±1%	Co (nm) ±1n	$Nd_{2}Fe_{14}B$ (nm) $\pm 3nm$
650/ 5 mins	14	0.87	0.54	78.0	0.67	m 15	31
780/ 30 sec	14	0.88	0.9	90.1	0.67	15	29

Grain size

#### Hysteresis and recoil magnetization

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Irreversible magnetization

![](_page_59_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Henkel Plot

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

-grains of rapidly annealed samples have positive inter-grain interactions

Energy product

Largest energy product for NdFeCoB is 106 kJ/m<sup>3</sup> (13.3 MG.Oe)

Found in rapidly annealed sample (Uniform grain size, strong ferromagnetic interactions)

No other work on thin films to compare to.

For bulk melt-spun: 119 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>[1], 95 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>[2], 80 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>[3], 100 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>[4], 55.7 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>[5]. After aligning ggrains ref 5 obtains 229 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>,

- 1. H. Chiriac, M. Marinescu, Jour. Appl. Phys 83 (1998)
- 2. L. H. Lewis and V. Panchanathan, Jour. Magn. Mag. Mater. 196 (1999) 299.
- 3. Q. Chen, B. M. Ma, B. Lu, M. Q. Huang and D. E. Laughlin, Jour. Appl. Phys. 85 (1999) 5917.
- J. Bernardi, T. Schrefl, J. Fiddler, Th. Rijks, K. de Kort,
   V. Archambault, D. Pere, S. David, D. Givord, J. F. O'Sullivan,
   P. A. I. Smith, J. M. D. Coey, U. Czernik, M. Gronefeld,
   Jour. Magn. Magn. Mater. 219 (2000) 186.
- R. W. Gao, D. H. Zhang, W. Li, X. M. Li, J. C. Zhang, Jour. Magn. Mag. Mater. 208 (2000) 239.

## Final comments

To date magnets based on Nd-Fe-B discovered two decades ago are the best permanent magnets.

Micro- and nano-structure are important in improving their magnetic properties.

With more control on the precise form of nanostructure it is likely properties can be improved (e.g. larger energy products could be obtained)